

WPPSI-IV Report Write-Up Cheat Sheet

Reviewed by the BastionGPT Clinical Advisory Board · July 2026

The WPPSI-IV (Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence, Fourth Edition, 2012) is the preschool intelligence battery for ages 2:6–7:7, and it is effectively two batteries divided at age 4: three primary indexes (VCI, VSI, WMI) plus FSIQ at 2:6–3:11, five (adds FRI, PSI) at 4:0–7:7. Index scores run 40–160; 90–109 is typically described as Average. No WPPSI-5 is announced as of July 2026: name the edition, norms, and age band behind every score.

1 – Measures, edition, age band, format the band decides the architecture

Do: name the edition, the age band (2:6–3:11 or 4:0–7:7), the norm set (US, Canadian, A&NZ), format, and any substitution or proration.

Pitfall: an unlabeled score table; three indexes for the younger band is design, not missing data.

2 – Observations and validity preschool validity rests on engagement

Do: document separation, rapport, attention, breaks, response formats; tie the validity statement to what you observed.

Pitfall: a boilerplate validity sentence with no observational basis.

3 – Composite summary the score triplet, every time

Do: report standard score, 95% CI, percentile, and a descriptor from one named set for every composite.

Pitfall: mixed descriptor vocabularies; the publisher calls them suggestions, so name yours.

4 – Index-by-index results the band's indexes, functionally

Do: write what each index means for this child, in language a parent and a team can use.

Pitfall: subtest storytelling; the critical Buros review found profile methods unsupported.

5 – Ancillary indexes, in the open VAI · NVI · GAI · CPI at 4:0+

Do: report any ancillary index beside the FSIQ with the reason stated; NVI carries no expressive demand.

Pitfall: a silent swap; the younger-band GAI still includes an expressive naming task.

6 – Stability and norm caveats current estimate, not a trait

Do: state that preschool scores are current estimates, name the re-evaluation plan and the norm vintage.

Pitfall: prediction language; "will remain delayed" outruns what these scores support.

7 – Integration and interpretive summary answer the referral question

Do: read results against adaptive, speech-language, and observational data; state what the scores do not establish.

Pitfall: an eligibility verdict from one composite; teams decide under state criteria.

8 – Recommendations linkage close the loop

Do: tie each recommendation to a finding; near age 6 or 7, note the instrument choice for the next evaluation.

Pitfall: recommendations that could follow any profile.

Before you sign

- ☐ Edition, age band, norms, format named
- ☐ Table and prose numbers agree
- ☐ CI and percentile beside every score
- ☐ One descriptor set, named and used
- ☐ Ancillary reporting decision has its reason
- ☐ Each recommendation traces to a finding

Drafting with AI

Paste your composite summary: band, scores, CIs, percentiles, descriptors. Not the items, not the record form, not software narrative.

"Draft a WPPSI-IV results section from this summary: 2:6–3:11 band, VCI 78 Borderline, VSI 92, WMI 85, FSIQ 81, NVI 90, VAI 71; referral question: ..."

Never paste client-identifying information into consumer AI tools. BastionGPT is HIPAA-compliant with a signed BAA on every plan.

400–800 words
results section

30–60 minutes
administration by band

Ages 2:6–7:7
two age bands

IEP teams · parents
who reads it

WPPSI-IV Results Section Template

Copy or print for your practice · Adapt fields to your organization's, payer's and jurisdiction's documentation requirements.

Child (initials):

Age (years:months):

Testing date(s):

Edition / norms:

Age band:

Referral question:

Measures and administration

Subtests given; format and scoring platform; any substitution or prororation

Behavioral observations and validity

Separation, rapport, attention, breaks; validity statement tied to observations

Composite summary

Band's primary indexes and FSIQ: score, 95% CI, percentile, one named descriptor set

Index-by-index results

Functional meaning per index for this child; no subtest-level claims

Ancillary indexes and reporting decision

VAI / NVI / GAI (CPI at 4:0–7:7 only); rationale for what anchors interpretation

Stability, integration, and interpretive summary

Current estimate; adaptive and speech-language data; team decision; what scores do not establish

Recommendations linkage

Each recommendation tied to a finding; re-evaluation timing near the band boundary

Ancillary indexes reported:

Re-evaluation due:

Evaluator signature / credentials:

Date signed:

Supervisor signature, where required:

Date: